



Editorial

Overcoming High Cardiovascular Disease Burden in Indonesia: The Importance of Massive Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factor Screening, Aggressive Guideline-Directed Treatment, and Community-Based Programs

Yoga Waranugraha^{1*}¹Department of Cardiology and Vascular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Cardiovascular Disease;
High-Risk Cardiovascular Disease.

ABSTRACT

Indonesia is a developing country with a large number of populations. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a serious public health concern in Indonesia because of its high burden. Moreover, the high-risk CVD patients were under treatment. Optimal CVD prevention can be the solution to this issue. In this paper, we are talking mainly about the role of massive CVD risk factor screening, precise risk stratification, aggressive guideline-directed treatment, and community-based programs in reducing the CVD burden.

Indonesia, with a population of 271.6 million, is the fourth most populous country in the world and has witnessed remarkable economic expansion over the past few decades.¹ Indonesia is undergoing a rapid epidemiological change in terms of its existing and predicted disease burden as a likely consequence of its economic development. The burden of non-communicable diseases is a serious public health concern in Indonesia. In Indonesia, approximately one-third of all deaths are attributed to cardiovascular diseases (CVD), with coronary artery disease (CAD) and stroke as the major causes of death.² Like most low- and middle-income countries in the Asia-Pacific region, the prevalence of major risk factors for CVD, such as obesity, diabetes, and hypertension, has increased in the Indonesian population.³ High CVD risk is prevalent in over-40-year-old Indonesian adults, and rates of preventative treatment are low.⁴ The other important issue is the high mortality rate for acute coronary syndromes (ACS). In Indonesia, all patients diagnosed with ACS had an in-hospital death rate of 10.6%.⁵ Nonetheless, this figure is more than the in-hospital death rate in other Asia-Pacific countries (5%),⁶ as well as Western countries (2.6% to 6.2%).⁷

CVD prevention is described as a population-level or individual-level coordinated collection of interventions to avoid or decrease the impact of CVD and its related disabilities. Prevention should be provided at two levels. First, prevention can be delivered at the population level by promoting healthy lifestyles. Second, at the individual level, for

example, in people at moderate to high risk of CVD or in patients with established CVD, prevention can be conducted by stopping unhealthy lifestyles (smoking, physical inactivity, atherogenic diet) and optimizing risk factors. At least 80% of CVDs could be prevented by eliminating health-risk behaviors.⁸ Some demographic interventions have effectively altered people's lifestyles. For instance, a greater understanding of how healthy lifestyles prevent CVD has reduced smoking and cholesterol levels. Before or in conjunction with pharmacological therapy, lifestyle modifications targeting several CV risk factors should be utilized. In addition, regulations targeted at cigarette smoking and reducing the trans-fatty acid and sodium content in food products are cost-effective in preventing CVD.^{9,10}

Screening and managing risk factors for CVD are crucial components in preventing CVD.¹¹ Numerous studies have proven the benefits of risk factor management and have significantly influenced national and international health strategies for CVD prevention.¹²⁻¹⁴ Generally, CVD risk factors are classified into modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors. The non-modifiable risk factors include older age, male gender, and family history of CVD. We cannot do anything about these risk factors. However, well-controlled modifiable risk factors can alter the natural history of CVD itself. Cigarette smoking, high-cholesterol diet, physical inactivity, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, and overweight/obesity belong to the modifiable CVD risk factors.⁸ This CVD risk factor screening should be completed by all physicians as part of a thorough patient evaluation.

*Corresponding author at: Department of Cardiology and Vascular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia
E-mail address: mr.waranugraha@ub.ac.id (Y. Waranugraha).

Various CVD risk evaluation tools are available for use in apparently healthy persons, such as Framingham,¹⁵ CUORE,¹⁶ PROCAM,¹⁷ QRISK1,¹⁸ QRISK2,¹⁹ ASSIGN,²⁰ and SCORE.²¹ However, the current guideline from the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) strongly recommends total CVD risk assessment using SCORE for individuals aged at least 40 years old unless they have been classified as high-risk or very high-risk according to the documented CVD, kidney disease, diabetes mellitus, or a significantly higher risk factor.⁸ The SCORE system assesses the first fatal atherosclerotic event risk occurring within 10 years. The components of the SCORE system include gender, total cholesterol level, systolic blood pressure, cigarette smoking status, and age.²¹ This scoring system is user-friendly and can be applied by all physicians in daily clinical practice from primary to tertiary healthcare centers in Indonesia. The patients can be classified into low-, moderate-, high-, and very high-risk persons if the total SCORE is less than 1%, between 1% to 5%, between 5 to 10%, and more than equal to 10%, respectively.^{8,22}

Aggressive guideline-directed treatment for CVD risk factors is mandatory and has to be well-recognized by all physicians in Indonesia. The dangers of cigarette smoking exhibit a dose-response association with no minimum threshold for adverse effects. Current guidelines recommend no active or passive exposure to any form of tobacco. A diet low in saturated fat that emphasizes wholegrain items, vegetables, fruit, and seafood is strongly advised. A minimum of 75 minutes a week of vigorous aerobic exercise (15 minutes for 5 days/week), 150 minutes a week of moderate aerobic exercise (30 minutes for 5 days/week), or a combination of both has to be performed in a routine manner. Waist circumference has to be maintained below 80 cm and 94 cm for females and males, respectively. Moreover, keeping body mass index (BMI) around 20 to 25 kg/m² is strongly recommended. The blood pressure and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) have to be maintained below 140/90 and 7%, respectively.^{8,22,23} However, for managing hyperlipidemia, individual and specific lipid panel-based approaches are needed. Actually, for triglycerides and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), there are no specific targets. However, achieving a high HDL-C level above 40mg/dL for male and above 45 mg/dL in females show a lower risk. Below 150 mg/dL, triglyceride level suggests a lesser risk, while levels above 150 mg/dL indicate the need to investigate additional risk factors.⁸ The low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) has to be decreased to <116 mg/dL, <100 mg/dL, <70 mg/dL, and <55 mg/dL for persons with low-, moderate-, high-, and very high-risk for CVD.²²

The role of community-based prevention-rehabilitation programs and the CVD community needs to be appreciated. Community-based interventions have successfully improved CVD risk factors, specifically by decreasing blood pressure, LDL-C level, triglyceride level, BMI, and blood sugar level. The effect of these programs on CVD varies by intervention type, cultural, and physical context.²⁴ By joining the CVD community, people can share information and their own experience with each other regarding their experiences having CVD. A cohort study including CAD patients revealed that community-based cardiac rehabilitation programs provided better adherence to the treatment and quality of life and reduced rehospitalization rate.²⁵

In conclusion, CVD is still the leading public health issue in Indonesia because of its high burden. The massive CVD risk factor screening, precise risk stratification, aggressive guideline-directed treatment, and community-based programs can be the way to solve this problem. All physicians, nurses, healthcare workers, patients, and stakeholders have to work together to resolve this problem.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest

1. Suwantika AA, Dhamanti I, Suharto Y, Purba FD, Abdulah R. The cost-effectiveness of social distancing measures for mitigating the COVID-19 pandemic in a highly-populated country: A case study in Indonesia. *Travel Med Infect Dis.* 2022;45(December 2021):102245. doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2021.102245
2. Mboi N, Murty Surbakti I, Trihandini I, et al. On the road to universal health care in Indonesia, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet.* 2018;392(10147):581–591. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30595-6
3. Hussain MA, Mamun A Al, Peters SAE, Woodward M, Huxley RR. The burden of cardiovascular disease attributable to major modifiable risk factors in Indonesia. *J Epidemiol.* 2016;26(10):515–521. doi:10.2188/jea.JE20150178
4. Maharani A, Sujarwoto, Praveen D, Oceandy D, Tampubolon G, Patel A. Cardiovascular disease risk factor prevalence and estimated 10-year cardiovascular risk scores in Indonesia: The SMARTHealth Extend study. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(4):1–13. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0215219
5. Pramudyo M, Yahya AF, Martanto E, et al. Predictors of In-Hospital Mortality in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome in Hasan Sadikin Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *Acta Med Indones.* 2022;54(3):379–388.
6. Chan MY, Du X, Eccleston D, et al. Acute coronary syndrome in the Asia-Pacific region. *Int J Cardiol.* 2016;202:861–869. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2015.04.073
7. Jensen MT, Pereira M, Araujo C, et al. Heart rate at admission is a predictor of in-hospital mortality in patients with acute coronary syndromes: Results from 58 European hospitals: The European Hospital Benchmarking by Outcomes in acute coronary syndrome Processes study. *Eur Hear journal Acute Cardiovasc care.* 2018;7(2):149–157. doi:10.1177/2048872616672077
8. Piepoli MF, Hoes AW, Agewall S, et al. 2016 European Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice. *Eur Heart J.* 2016;37(29):2315–2381. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehw106
9. Cobiac LJ, Magnus A, Lim S, Barendregt JJ, Carter R, Vos T. Which interventions offer best value for money in primary prevention of cardiovascular disease? *PLoS One.* 2012;7(7). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041842
10. Collins M, Mason H, O'Flaherty M, Guzman-Castillo M, Critchley J, Capewell S. An economic evaluation of salt reduction policies to reduce coronary heart disease in England: A policy modeling study. *Value Heal.* 2014;17(5):517–524. doi:10.1016/j.jval.2014.03.1722
11. Sacco RL, Roth GA, Reddy KS, et al. The Heart of 25 by 25: Achieving the Goal of Reducing Global and Regional Premature Deaths From Cardiovascular Diseases and Stroke: A Modeling Study From the American Heart Association and World Heart Federation. *Circulation.* 2016;133(23):e674–e690. doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000395
12. Ridker PM, Pradhan A, MacFadyen JG, Libby P, Glynn RJ. Cardiovascular benefits and diabetes risks of statin therapy in primary prevention: An analysis from the JUPITER trial. *Lancet.* 2012;380(9841):565–571. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61190-8
13. Wright JT, Williamson JD, Whelton PK, et al. A Randomized Trial of Intensive versus Standard Blood-Pressure Control. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(22):2103–2116. doi:10.1056/nejmoa1511939
14. Furberg CD, Wright JT, Davis BR, et al. Major Outcomes in High-Risk Hypertensive Patients Randomized to Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitor or Calcium Channel Blocker vs Diuretic. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc.* 2002;288(23):2981–2997.

15. D'Agostino RB, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, et al. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: The Framingham heart study. *Circulation*. 2008;117(6):743-753. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.699579
16. Giampaoli S. CUORE: A sustainable cardiovascular disease prevention strategy. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2007;14(2):161-162. doi:10.1097/HJR.0b013e328157f3e5
17. Assmann G, Cullen P, Schulte H. Simple scoring scheme for calculating the risk of acute coronary events based on the 10-year follow-up of the Prospective Cardiovascular Münster (PROCAM) study. *Circulation*. 2002;105(3):310-315. doi:10.1161/hc0302.102575
18. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Vinogradova Y, Robson J, May M, Brindle P. Derivation and validation of QRISK, a new cardiovascular disease risk score for the United Kingdom: Prospective open cohort study. *Br Med J*. 2007;335(7611):136-141. doi:10.1136/bmj.39261.471806.55
19. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Vinogradova Y, et al. Predicting cardiovascular risk in England and Wales: Prospective derivation and validation of QRISK2. *Bmj*. 2008;336(7659):1475-1482. doi:10.1136/bmj.39609.449676.25
20. Woodward M, Brindle P, Tunstall-Pedoe H. Adding social deprivation and family history to cardiovascular risk assessment: the ASSIGN score from the Scottish Heart Health Extended Cohort (SHHEC). *Heart*. 2007;93(2):172-176. doi:10.1136/hrt.2006.108167
21. Conroy RM, Pyörälä K, Fitzgerald AP, et al. Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: The SCORE project. *Eur Heart J*. 2003;24(11):987-1003. doi:10.1016/S0195-668X(03)00114-3
22. Mach F, Baigent C, Catapano AL, et al. 2019 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias: Lipid modification to reduce cardiovascular risk. *Eur Heart J*. 2020;41(1):111-188. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehz455
23. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, et al. 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J*. 2018;39(33):3021-3104. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehy339
24. Soltani S, Saraf-Bank S, Basirat R, et al. Community-based cardiovascular disease prevention programmes and cardiovascular risk factors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Public Health*. 2021;200:59-70. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2021.09.006
25. Dzikrul Haq Karimullah M, Saifur Rohman M, Adriyanto T, Tri Tjahjono C, Widito S. Community-based Cardiac Rehabilitation Improved Adherence to Medication, Quality of Life and Rehospitalization Among Stable Coronary Artery Patients: A Cohort Study. *Hear Sci J*. 2020;1(2):24-31. doi:10.21776/ub.hsj.2020.001.02.5