Contents list available at www.heartscience.ub.ac.id

Heart Science Journal



Journal Homepage : www.heartscience.ub.ac.id

# Multimodality Imaging Evaluation in Coronary Artery Disease

# Anna Fuji Rahimah<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Cardiology and Vascular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia.

## ARTICLE INFO

Editorial

# ABSTRACT

Keywords: Non-Invasive Imaging Modalities; Multimodality Imaging; Computed Tomography Angiography; Cardiac Magnetic Resonance; Coronary Artery Disease Non-invasive imaging modalities are fundamental in evaluating and managing patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease (CAD). Multimodality cardiac imaging procedures detect the presence of CAD and guide clinical decision-making. Combining anatomical and functional imaging modalities would enable a more thorough characterization of obstructive CAD. When selecting an imaging test, one must consider the many factors that interact in the development of chronic CAD and acute coronary syndrome (ACS). The clinical presentation, baseline characteristics of the patient, as well as the clinical center's local availability and expertise will determine the preferred imaging technique to confirm the diagnosis of ACS or chronic CAD. Diagnostic testing is most useful and recommended in patients with chronic coronary syndromes (CCS) when the likelihood is intermediate. The preferred options are coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) or stress tests, but patients may be referred directly for invasive coronary angiography (ICA) if the likelihood of CAD is very high. The primary goal of the initial diagnostic evaluation in patients with suspected ACS is to confirm ACS and rule out the other most common life-threatening conditions, such as acute pulmonary embolism (PE) or acute aortic syndromes (AAS). Non-invasive imaging is essential in the differential diagnosis of ACS and frequently necessitates multimodality imaging. Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) is the most helpful imaging test in diagnosing

Non-invasive imaging modalities are fundamental in evaluating and managing patients with known or suspected coronary artery disease (CAD). Any combination of imaging techniques used to establish CAD diagnosis and its functional implications is commonly referred to as multimodality imaging. Over the last decade, the number of diagnostic tools available to assess CAD has grown; in particular, coronary computed tomography angiography (CTA) and cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) have emerged as viable alternatives to echocardiography, exercise electrocardiography (ECG), and invasive coronary angiography (ICA).<sup>1,2</sup>

Various cardiovascular societies led by different expert groups have published many guidelines and recommendations on coronary syndromes. The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) 2019 guideline on chronic coronary syndromes (CCS) and 2020 guideline on acute coronary syndromes (ACS) in patients with non-ST-segment elevation (NSTE-ACS) emphasize the essential role of non-invasive imaging in the disease's diagnosis, treatment, and risk assessment.<sup>3,4</sup> Currently, the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI) and the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE), in collaboration with the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and the Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, have created a document that addresses the use of various imaging techniques in patients with diagnosed or suspected CAD. Non-invasive imaging methods used to evaluate patients with known or suspected CAD rely on assessing the following: (i) the presence and anatomic severity of stenosis, (ii) abnormal flow in epicardial arteries, (iii) abnormal myocardial perfusion, or (iv) abnormal myocardial contractility.<sup>5</sup>

To diagnose stable CAD or CCS, the Bayesian technique is used. The pre-test likelihood of the condition is determined using the patient's age, gender, and symptoms characteristics. The pre-test probability of CAD will determine the testing strategy, which may include a variety of imaging modalities.<sup>3,6</sup> When the likelihood of CCS is intermediate, diagnostic testing is most useful and recommended. Patients with an intermediate pre-test probability (PTP) of underlying CAD should have non-invasive anatomical or functional diagnostic tests performed first (Figure 1). Patients with very low PTP may not require evaluation (a positive test would most likely be a false positive), whereas patients with high PTP may require direct coronary angiography (a negative test would most likely be false negative). However, the new and revised PTP calculation allows for anatomical or functional diagnostic testing in patients with a PTP of 5-15% is taken into consideration necessary in specific clinical situations. Further testing may be recommended if a patient has a family history of early coronary artery disease (CAD), diabetes, or renal impairment.3,7,8

https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.hsj.2022.003.01.1

Received 9 August 2021; Received in revised form 30 November 2021; Accepted 15 December 2021 Available online 1 January 2022

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author at: Department of Cardiology and Vascular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia E-mail address: drannafuji@gmail.com (A.F. Rahimah).

	Typical angina		Atypical angina		Non-Anginal		Dyspnea	
Age \ Sex	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
30-39	3%	5%	4%	3%	1%	1%	0%	3%
40-49	22%	10%	10%	6%	3%	2%	12%	3%
50-59	32%	13%	17%	6%	11%	3%	20%	9%
60-69	44%	16%	26%	11%	22%	6%	27%	14%
70+	52%	27%	34%	19%	24%	10%	32%	12%
Imaging								
test option	No test		CACS / CTA		Functional test		Invasive angiogram	
Chest Pain to be evaluated by 3 characteristics:1- location in the chest, epigastrium, neck, jaw, back, left shoulder or left arm.TYPICAL ANGINA: meets all 3 characteristics ATYPICAL ANGINA: meets any 2 characteristics NON-ANGINAL Chest Pain: meets only 1 or none of these characteristics.2- Precipitated by exercise or stress 3- Relieved by resting or sublingual nitrates within 3-5 minutesNON-ANGINAL Chest Pain: meets only 1 or none of these characteristics.								

Figure 1. Pre-test probability (PTP) of epicardial CAD (modified Diamond and Forrester) and value of imaging testing. This simple (age, sex, and symptoms) assessment of pre-test probability can be complemented with other data for an improved PTP estimation. Complementary data include traditional risk factors for atherosclerosis (family history of early CAD, dyslipidaemia, smoking, diabetes, etc.) and other biomarkers such as Q or ST abnormalities in ECG, low EF, or WMA on resting imaging, etc. The value of each diagnostic approach in each box and its variance based on complementary data is reflected in the colours and their shades. Addapted from 2019 ESC guidelines.<sup>3</sup>

Non-invasive diagnostic modalities can evaluate resting left ventricular (LV) function, determine the presence of myocardial scar or ischemia, and directly assess coronary anatomy (by coronary CTA). A resting transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) is recommended as the initial imaging modality in all patients suspected of having CCS to assess structural abnormalities, wall motion, and LV systolic function. Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) is the gold standard for assessing the right ventricle (RV) or LV and is an excellent alternative to echocardiography, especially when the image quality is poor.<sup>5,9</sup>

In patients with known or suspected CAD and low to high PTP, anatomical or functional imaging should be used as the first-line test for diagnostic and prognostic purposes. Coronary CTA is the preferred test in patients with the lowest intermediate range of clinical likelihood of CCS, no previous diagnosis of CAD, and characteristics associated with a high likelihood of good image quality due to its high negative predictive value (the ability to exclude significant CAD).<sup>3</sup> Patients with a higher likelihood of CCS, known CAD, a high burden of calcified atherosclerosis on prior CT imaging, and patients who are not ideal candidates for coronary CTA should undergo functional testing with imaging. In patients with chronic chest pain syndrome and equivocal functional imaging findings, coronary CTA may also be used. In patients with intermediate stenoses on coronary CTA, however, functional testing with imaging may be performed if the results of these tests may lead to changes in patient management (e.g., medical vs. revascularization strategy). When a functional test is ambiguous or uninterpretable, anatomic testing can be helpful, and vice versa.5

Stress-induced perfusion defects or wall motion abnormality (WMA) can indicate myocardial ischemia. Ischemia can be detected accurately using echocardiography, single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), positron emission tomography (PET), CMR, and computed tomography-fractional flow reserve (CT-FFR). An exercise ECG stress test may be used to establish the diagnosis of myocardial ischemia if coronary CTA and functional imaging tests are unavailable or impractical. The decision to use one test over another will be influenced by patient characteristics, relative contraindications, and local availability and expertise.<sup>5</sup>

Each imaging modality evaluates different aspects of viability and associates with specific characteristics or imaging phenotypes, such as metabolic activity (PET), membrane integrity (SPECT), increased extracellular space (late gadolinium enhancement [LGE] CMR), or contractile reserve (dobutamine echocardiography or cine CMR). Non-invasive imaging to detect ischemia and viability is reasonable in patients with known CAD and no angina who present with heart failure unless the patient is not eligible for revascularization. Low-dose dobutamine echocardiography, CMR, LGE CMR, as well as nuclear imaging are all options for determining viability. For scar detection, LGE CMR is the method of choice.

In CCS, all non-invasive imaging methods have shown significant prognostic value. For risk stratification in CCS, a resting TTE assessment of LV function is critical. In CCS, global longitudinal strain (GLS) complements ejection fraction (EF) by providing incremental prognostic information. A normal functional or anatomical non-invasive imaging test indicates an excellent prognosis, and ICA can be avoided without risk. In patients suspected of having CAD, coronary CTA is an excellent prognostic tool. A high scar burden as measured by SPECT and CMR is associated with a poor prognosis.

Imaging is used in patients with suspected ACS to confirm a diagnosis that would otherwise be inconclusive and to assess LV function. Non-invasive imaging tests in ACS, on the other hand, should never be used to postpone ICA if it is clinically indicated. The addition of non-invasive imaging modalities to clinical examination and blood

biomarkers in patients with suspected NSTE-ACS and non-specific ECG changes can aid in establishing the diagnosis by detecting regional or global WMA. When complications are suspected or an alternative diagnosis is being considered, bedside echocardiography can be useful. Aortic dissection, pericarditis with or without pericardial effusion, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, mitral valve prolapse, or RV dilatation suggestive of acute pulmonary embolism (PE) are possible diagnoses.

Imaging is used in patients with suspected ACS to confirm a diagnosis that would otherwise be inconclusive and to assess LV function. Non-invasive imaging tests in ACS, on the other hand, should never be used to postpone ICA if it is clinically indicated. The addition of non-invasive imaging modalities to clinical examination and blood biomarkers in patients with suspected NSTE-ACS and non-specific ECG changes can aid in establishing the diagnosis by detecting regional or global WMA. When complications are suspected or an alternative diagnosis is being considered, bedside echocardiography can be useful. Aortic dissection, pericarditis with or without pericardial effusion, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, mitral valve prolapse, or RV dilatation suggestive of acute pulmonary embolism (PE) are possible diagnoses.<sup>5</sup>

Patients with CAD are at risk of sudden cardiac death (SCD), heart failure, and/or recurrent ischaemic events following revascularization. Following PCI or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), patients with ischaemic LV dysfunction have varying degrees of functional recovery. The persistence of low LVEF is an indication for continued pharmacologic therapy for heart failure, and an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) is indicated for primary prevention of SCD if the LVEF is less than 35% after 40 days after the acute coronary event.

Before leaving the hospital, a routine echocardiogram, including an assessment of LVEF and GLS, is recommended. If the pre-discharge echo showed an abnormal EF, an evaluation of LV function 1–3 months after an ACS should be performed and used as a post-myocardial infarctrion reference for subsequent risk stratification. It is not recommended to re-evaluate asymptomatic patients after revascularization. Scar size, as measured by nuclear imaging or CMR, and microvascular obstruction, as measured by echocardiography or CMR, are both predictors of outcome. After a successful PCI procedure, coronary CTA should not be used routinely to assess stent thrombosis or restenosis.<sup>5</sup>

## **Conflict of Interest**

There is no conflict o interest

#### References

- Beck KS, Kim JA, Choe YH, Hian SK, Hoe J, Hong YJ, et al. 2017 Multimodality appropriate use criteria for noninvasive cardiac imaging: Expert consensus of the Asian society of cardiovascular imaging. Korean J Radiol. 2017;18(6):871–80.
- Greulich S, Sechtem U. Multimodality imaging in coronary artery disease - "The more the better?" Cor et Vasa. 2015;57(6):e462–9.
- Knuuti J, Wijns W, Saraste A, Capodanno D, Barbato E, Funck-Brentano C et. al. 2019 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes: the Task Force for the diagnosis and management of chronic coronary syndromes of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2020;41:407–477.
- 4. Collet J-P, Thiele H, Barbato E, Barthe le my O, Bauersachs J, Bhatt DL et al.; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2020 ESC Guidelines for

the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation. Eur Heart J 2021;42:1289–367.

- Edvardsen T, Asch FM, Davidson B, Delgado V, DeMaria A, Dilsizian V et. al. Non-invasive imaging in coronary syndromes: recommendations of the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging and the American Society of Echocardiography, in collaboration with the American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, and Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance. European Heart Journal - Cardiovascular Imaging 2022;23:e6–e33.
- D. Clarke J-R, I. Lancaster G. Application of Bayesian Principles to the Evaluation of Coronary Artery Disease in the Modern Era. Differ Diagnosis Chest Pain. 2020;
- 7. Wolk MJ, Bailey SR, Doherty JU, Douglas PS, Hendel RC, Kramer CM et. al. American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force. ACCF/AHA/ASE/ASNC/HFSA/HRS/S-CAI/SCCT/SCMR/STS 2013 multimodality appropriate use criteria for the detection and risk assessment of stable ischemic heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Appropriate Use Criteria Task Force, American Heart Association, American Society of Echocardiography, American Society of Nuclear Cardiology, Heart Failure Society of America, Heart Rhythm Society, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography, Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:380–406.
- Nasir K, Budoff MJ, Wong ND, Scheuner M, Herrington D, Arnett DK, et al. Family history of premature coronary heart disease and coronary artery calcification: Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Circulation. 2007;116(6):619–26.
- Hundley WG, Bluemke DA, Finn JP, Flamm SD, Fogel MA, Friedrich MG, et al. ACCF/ACR/AHA/NASCI/SCMR 2010 Expert consensus document on cardiovascular magnetic resonance: A report of the American college of cardiology foundation task force on expert consensus documents. Circulation. 2010;121(22):2462–508.